

NOTE – The Legislature has a new letter submission process that requires **ALL LETTERS TO BE UPLOADED INTO A NEW ELECTRONIC PORTAL**. The portal will automatically send letters to the author's office and the committee(s) of jurisdiction. Please visit <https://calegislation.lc.ca.gov/advocates/> to create an account and upload this letter. If you are having difficulty accessing the portal, please contact Meg at our office mdesmond@calcities.org.

In addition to submitting the letter through the portal, please send a physical copy to your Legislator(s), and continue to send a copy by email to your Regional Public Affairs Manager, and the Cal Cities city letter account (cityletters@cacities.org).

CITY LETTERHEAD

DATE

The Honorable Scott Wiener
Senator, California State Senate
1021 O St, Suite 8620
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: SB 79 (Wiener) Transit-oriented Development
Notice of Opposition

Dear Senator Wiener,

The City/Town of _____ writes to express our strong opposition to your SB 79 (Wiener), which would disregard state-certified housing elements and bestow land use authority to transit agencies without any requirement that developers build housing, let alone affordable housing.

SB 79 doubles down on the recent trend of the state overriding its own mandated local housing elements. This latest overreaching effort forces cities to approve transit-oriented development projects near specified transit stops — up to seven stories high and a density of 120 homes per acre — without regard to the community's needs, environmental review, or public input.

PLEASE CITE SPECIFIC REASONS OF HOW YOUR CITY WILL BE AFFECTED BY THIS BILL.

Most alarmingly, SB 79 defies cities' general plans and provides transit agencies unlimited land use authority on property they own or have a permanent easement, regardless of the distance from a transit stop. Transit agencies would have the power to determine all aspects of the development including height, density, and design, without any regard to local zoning or planning.

This broad new authority applies to both residential and commercial development. Transit agencies could develop 100% commercial projects — even at transit stops — and not provide a

single new home, while simultaneously making the argument that more housing must be constructed around transit stops.

City/Town of _____ appreciates and respects your desire to pursue a housing production proposal. However, as currently drafted, SB 79 will not spur much-needed housing construction in a manner that supports local flexibility, decision-making, and community input. State-driven ministerial or by-right housing approval processes fail to recognize the extensive public engagement associated with developing and adopting zoning ordinances and housing elements.

California will never produce the number of homes needed with an increasingly state-driven, by-right housing approval process. What we really need is a sustainable state investment that matches the scale of this decades-in-the-making crisis. For these reasons, the City/Town of _____ strongly opposes SB 79.

Sincerely,

NAME

TITLE

CITY/TOWN of _____

cc. Your Senator & Assembly Member
Your League Regional Public Affairs Manager (via email)
League of California Cities, cityletters@cacities.org